Laparoscopic repair of small bowel and colon

A report of 26 cases
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Summary. This is a retrospective review of laparoscopic repair for enterotomies created during therapeutic or diagnostic laparoscopy in 26 women. All patients had mechanical and antibiotic bowel preparation preoperatively. The indication for operative laparoscopy was endometriosis (18), severe abdominal adhesive disease (7), and adhesions with Crohn’s disease (1). Enterotomies were secondary either to CO2 laser vaporization or excision of endometriosis and/or lysis of adhesions (23) and trocar insertion (3). The injuries included small-bowel enterotomies (9), colotomies (4), and rectotomies (13). No clinical complications related to enterotomy repair were noted. Twenty-three patients were discharged 1 day after surgery; one was discharged on postoperative day 2; and two were discharged on postoperative day 3. We concluded that small- and large-bowel enterotomies can be repaired safely via the laparoscope with minimum morbidity in patients with prepared bowel.
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While gynecologists have used laparoscopic surgery extensively for over a decade [4–11], it has only recently been widely used by general surgeons. As general surgeons gain experience with laparoscopic techniques, we are witnessing the application of laparoscopic surgery to an increasing number of general surgical procedures [2]. While laparoscopy has been shown to diminish pain, scarring [4, 12], and hospitalization compared to similar open surgical procedures, the morbidity and efficacy of laparoscopic surgery when first applied as an alternative to an accepted open surgical procedure is speculative [13]. To help determine these risks we can often use the data accumulated during surgery for gynecologic pathology.

In this study, we review enterotomies of the small bowel, colon, and rectum created during elective diagnostic and therapeutic laparoscopy performed primarily for gynecologic pathology, and repaired by laparoscopic suture techniques. Our intent is to ascertain the efficacy and safety in prepared bowel for laparoscopic enterotomy repair.

Materials and methods

Twenty-six women (mean age 37, range 25–65 years) underwent laparoscopic repair of an enterotomy created either adventitiously or inadvertently during diagnostic or therapeutic laparoscopy. All patients had both preoperative mechanical bowel preparation with 4 L polyethylene glycol-3350 oral solution (Go-LYTELY, Braintree Laboratories Inc., Braintree, MA), and 1 g metronidazole (Flagyl, G.D. Searle, Chicago, IL) at 11:00 p.m., the night before surgery. Intravenous cefoxitin (Mefoxin, Merck, Sharp and Dohme, West Point, PA) was administered 1 h prior to surgery. The indications for surgery were endometriosis (18), pelvic adhesions (7), and adhesions with Crohn’s disease (1).

Enterotomies were secondary to CO2 laser vaporization or excision of endometriosis and/or lysis of adhesions adjacent to or involving the bowel wall (23) and trocar insertion (3). The three enterotomies related to trocar insertion occurred in patients who had prior abdominal surgery. The injuries included small-bowel enterotomies (9), colotomies (4), and rectotomies (13). All repairs were single-layer running closures incorporating mucosa, submucosa, and serosa when available. Suture material was either 3-0 silk or 4-0 polydioxanone suture (PDS, Ethicon, Somerville, NJ) using a curved or straight needle and intracorporeal or extracorporeal tying.

After the repairs were complete, evaluation was performed using one of the following methods. To evaluate small-bowel and colon closures, the abdominal and pelvic cavities were filled with lactated Ringer’s (Baxter), and the bowel was observed under the fluid. This area was then inspected for air bubbles, which would indicate that the closure was not airtight. To evaluate the rectosigmoid colon repairs, the posterior cul-de-sac was filled with lactated Ringer’s and
Results

The enterotomies were repaired successfully in all patients with no need for laparotomy. They all tolerated soft to regular diets within 72 h of surgery. Two patients developed postoperative fevers in excess of 38.5°C. Both were moderately obese patients and the fevers were believed to be related to postoperative atelectasis as they resolved promptly with pulmonary toilet.

Twenty-three patients were discharged from the hospital within 24 h of surgery. One patient was discharged on postoperative day 2, and two on postoperative day 3. Each of the latter three patients underwent surgery early in our experience and had been kept for observation of low-grade fever or prolonged postoperative nausea.

No clinical complications related to the laparoscopic bowel repair were noted, and no patients presented after discharge with fevers or obstructive symptoms. No fistulas occurred and all repairs/enterotomies healed without clinical evidence of infection.

Discussion

As laparoscopic techniques become familiar to the general surgeon, the reports of laparoscopic bowel surgery are increasing [1, 3, 8, 14]. To date, there have been case reports emphasizing instrumentation and technique with patient numbers insufficient to draw any conclusion regarding the morbidity or efficacy of the procedure. At present, this is the largest reported series for laparoscopic bowel surgery performed.

As this is a retrospective study, the results may reflect the disadvantages inherent in this type of research. The clinical results for the 26 patients undergoing laparoscopic bowel repair were excellent with no significant morbidity related to the bowel surgery; however, it was a heterogenous population of relatively young, healthy females with benign pathology. Despite this, it is hard to imagine, even among a comparable control group, bowel surgery by an open technique with similar clinical results and minimal hospitalization.

Based on the results of this study, we concluded that small-bowel enterotomies, colotomies, and rectotomies which occurred during laparoscopic procedures in patients who had preoperative bowel prepara-

References